

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

		, , ,	,	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/680,105	10/04/2000	Glenn Reid	004860.P2471	8214
759	90 02/24/2004	•	EXAM	INER
Lisa Benado Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP			CHUONG, TRUC T	
12400 Wilshire Boulevard			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Seventh Floor			2174	01
Los Angeles, C	A 90025-1026		DATE MAILED: 02/24/2004	- T

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

the second

1

	Application No.	pplicant(s)
-51	09/680,105	REID, GLENN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Truc T Chuong	2174
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
eriod for Reply	DIVIC CETTO EVDIDE 2.5	AONITH(S) EDOM
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a. I reply within the statutory minimum of thirting the statutory minimum of the statutory minimum of the statutory minimum of the statutory and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
tatus		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{0}$	8 December 2003.	
	This action is non-final.	•
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		tters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und		
•	•	w = =
isposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-84</u> is/are pending in the applicat		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	urawn from consideration.	•
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>1-84</u> is/are rejected.		• .
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	adlar alaatian raavinamant	.V.
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	iu/or election requirement.	^
pplication Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to		•
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the col	rrection is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	oign priority under 35 I I S C	& 119(a)-(d) or (f)
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	eigh phonty under 55 0.5.C.	3 113(a)-(d) of (i).
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ants have been received	
2 Certified copies of the priority docum		Application No.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	
application from the International Bu		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		of received.
See the attached detailed Office action for a	not of the optimed copies he	
. "		
ttachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)
	Daner No	o(s)/Mail Date
) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE	′	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2174

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This communication is responsive to Amendment B, filed 12/08/03.
- 2. Claims 1-84 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 11, 20, 28, 37, 42, 47, 52, 57, 61, 65, 69, 73, 76, 79, and 82 are independent claims. In Amendment A, claims 1, 11, 20, 28, 37, 42, 47, 52, 73, 76, 79, and 82 are amended. This action is a non-final rejection.
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. Claims 1-84 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Klingler et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,404,316).

As to claim 20, Klingler teaches a processing system for collecting a time based stream of information to generate a presentation comprising:

- (i) means for communicating with an information source having a time based stream of information (col. 2 lines 51-65);
- (ii) means for presenting capture information from the time based stream of information on a portion of the display device, wherein the capture information is acquired in a capture mode (capture, col. 4 lines 59-62; a selected clip can be cut and pasted with its time line and other information of that clip, col. 2 lines 42-65, col. 3 lines 45-49, col. 7 lines 10-14; Edit, col. 10 lines 24-43, and figs. 3-5, 6, and 8-9);



Art Unit: 2174

(iii) means for presenting process information for constructing the presentation on the display device (col. 4 lines 62-68, Edit, col. 10 lines 24-43); and

(iv) means for presenting at least one enabled control element (enable, col. 4 lines 59-67).

As to claim 21, Klingler teaches the system further including a means for capturing the time based stream of information from the information source (col. 5 lines 10-15 and figs. 3-5).

As to claim 22, Klingler teaches the system of claim 21, wherein the means for capturing is by executing an interrupt procedure (Edit, col. 10 lines 24-52).

As to claim 23, Klingler teaches the system of claim 22 wherein the interrupt procedure iterates at the same or substantially the same rate as the transfer rate of the time based stream of information from the information source because Klingler's system contains "Set Duration" (col. 10 line 64-68) to provide playback features with different speed (col. 11 lines 1-4).

As to claim 24, Klingler teaches the system of claim 20, wherein at least one of the enabled control elements is to edit the information (edit, col. 10 lines 24-52).

As to claim 25, Klingler teaches the system of claim 20, wherein at least one of the enabled control elements is to perform side operations (col. 3 lines 55-59).

As to claim 26, Klingler teaches the system of claim 20, further including a means for presenting an edit output on the same portion of the display for presenting the capture information (movie, col. 53-63).

As to claim 27, Klingler teaches the system of claim 20, wherein the presenting of capture information is automatic in response to the communicating with the information source (automatically created, col. 5 lines 46-63).



Art Unit: 2174

As to claims 1-6 and 9-10, these are method claims of system claims 10-27. Note the rejections of claims 20-27 above respectively.

As to claim 7, it is individually similar in scope to claim 5; therefore, rejected under similar rationale.

As to claims 11-19, these are system claims of method claims 1-7 and 9-10. Note the rejections of claims 1-7 and 9-10 above respectively.

As to claim 8, this is a method claim of system claim 17. Note the rejection of claim 17 above.

As to claims 28-33 and 35-36, these are program product claims of system claims 20-27.

Note the rejections of claims 20-27 above respectively.

As to claim 34, it is a program product claim of system claim 23, and it is individually similar in scope to claim 23; therefore, rejected under similar rationale.

As to claim 37, this is a method claim of system claim 20. Note the rejection of claim 20 above.

As to claim 38, this is a method claim of system claim 27. Note the rejection of claim 27 above.

As to claim 39, Klingler teaches the method of claim 37, wherein the detecting is by receiving a signal from the information source through a capture port on the processing system, and wherein the automatically presenting comprises opening a window on the display device (process information and scene information, col. 3 lines 26-36 and figs. 3-4, 7, 9, and 10-11).

As to claims 40 and 41, these are method claims of system claims 21 and 23. Note the rejections of claims 21 and 23 above.



Art Unit: 2174

As to claim 42, Klingler teaches a processing system for generating a presentation of a time based stream of information, the system comprising:

- A) a capture port for acquiring the time based stream of information (Editing environment and Time line view, col. 2 lines 42-67, col. 3 lines 1-49, figs. 3-5);
 - B) a display device (figs. 3-4); and
- C) a processor coupled to the capture port and to the display device, the processor configured to:
 - i) detect an information source having a time based stream of information in communication with the processing system (col. 3 lines 37-49 and figs. 8-10), and
 - ii) automatically present capture information from the time based stream of information on a display in response to detecting, wherein the capture information is acquired in a capture mode (automatically created, col. 5 lines 46-63, and capture, col. 4 lines 59-62; a selected clip can be cut and pasted with its time line and other information of that clip, col. 2 lines 42-65, col. 3 lines 45-49, col. 7 lines 10-14).

As to claims 43-44, they are system claims of method claims 38-39. Note the rejections of claims 38-39 above respectively.

As to claim 44, Klingler teaches the system of claim 42, wherein the detecting is by receiving a signal from the information source through a capture port on the processing system, and

As to claim 45, it is individually similar in scope to claim 21; therefore, rejected under similar rationale.



Art Unit: 2174

As to claim 46, this is a system claim of method claim 41. Note the rejection of claim 41 above.

As to claims 47-51, these are system claims of method claims 37-41. Note the rejections of claims 37-41 respectively for capturing the time based stream of information from the information source.

As to claims 52-56, these are program product claims of system claims 47-51. Note the rejections of claims 47-51 above respectively.

As to claim 57, this is a method claim of system claim 42. Note the rejection of claim 42 above, and Klingler also teaches (C) presenting an edit output on the viewing portion of the display during an edit mode (figs. 9-11).

As to claims 58, this is a method claim of system claim 51. Note the rejection of claim 51 above.

As to claim 59, this is a method claim of program product claim 32. Note the rejection of claim 32 above.

As to claim 60, this is a method claim of system claim 25. Note the rejection of claim 25 above.

As to claim 61, this is a system claim to generate a presentation of a time based stream of information of system claim 42 combined with method claim 57. Note the rejections of claims 42 and 57 above.

As to claims 62-64, these are system claims of method claims 58-60. Note the rejections of claims 58-60 above respectively.



Art Unit: 2174

As to claims 65-68, these are system claims of method claims 57-60. Note the rejections of claims 57-60 above respectively.

As to claims 69-72, these are program product claims of system claims 65-68. Note the rejections of claims 65-68 above respectively.

As to claim 73, it is individually similar in scope to claim 57, and therefore rejected under similar rationale.

As to claim 74, it is a method claim of system claim 40. Note the rejection of claim 40 above.

As to claim 75, Klingler teaches the method of claim 73, wherein the editing window includes a toggle control, element to switch between capture and edit mode within the editing window (toggle, col. 17 lines 14-29 and figs. 9, 14, and 15).

As to claim 76, it is individually similar in scope to system claim 42, and therefore rejected under similar rationale.

As to claims 77-78, these are system claims of claims 74-75. Note the rejections of claims 74-75 above respectively.

As to claims 79-81, these are system claims of method claims 73-75. Note the rejections of claims 73-75 above respectively.

As to claims 82-84, these are program product claims of method claims 73-75. Note the rejections of claims 73-75 above respectively.



Art Unit: 2174

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed in Amendment A have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argued the following:

Klingler does not disclose <u>capture information from the time based stream of information</u>, wherein the capture information is acquired in a capture mode.

The Examiner disagrees for the following reasons:

Klingler clearly teaches how to capture information by copying/cutting and pasting a selected clip with its <u>time line and other information</u> for editing in a different display (col. 4 lines 59-62, col. 2 lines 42-65, col. 3 lines 45-49, col. 7 lines 10-14; Edit, col. 10 lines 24-43, and figs. 3-5, 6, and 8-9).

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Truc T Chuong whose telephone number is 703-305-5753. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th and alternate Fridays 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine L. Kincaid can be reached on 703-308-0640. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 9

Application/Control Number: 09/680,105

Art Unit: 2174

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Truc T. Chuong

02/18/04

KRISTINE KINCAID
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100